Dialectic and logic

In the context of traditional logic, the principle of non-contradiction is a fundamental aspect of dialectic reasoning. It asserts that a proposition and its negation cannot both be true simultaneously.

In dialectic logic, this principle is expanded to encompass the idea that contradictions can lead to new insights and the development of new concepts. This is in contrast to the traditional view, where contradictions are seen as logical errors.

Dialectic logic emphasizes the importance of the process of contradiction as a means of overcoming apparent contradictions and advancing knowledge.

This approach is rooted in the idea that contradictions and logical errors are not obstacles to be avoided, but rather opportunities for innovation and the advancement of thought.
contradiction and dialectic

problems of motion and change

The success of kinesthetic prophecy functions exactly as a sequence of repeated stop-and-go motion, with the motion being defined as the interaction of the opposing forces. The result is a circular, repeated pattern of motion, with each cycle returning to the starting point. This cyclical pattern is characteristic of all natural phenomena, and it is this recurring nature that gives rise to the concept of contradiction and dialectic. The contradiction is the conflict between opposing forces, which results in a dynamic process of change and development. The dialectic is the process by which this change takes place, with the opposing forces leading to a synthesis of new forces and a transition to a higher level of development.
Hegel and Dialectic


According to Hegel (1987), contradiction is a necessary condition for the development of dialectic. The contradiction of two forces or opposites is the source of new forces and new ideas. Hegel's philosophy is based on the idea that contradictions are necessary for the development of dialectic.
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determined through a process of internalization. This process, in
part, is not a fixed set of rules, but rather a series of steps that
must be taken to ensure the proper functioning of the
organization. It is important to note that this process is not
linear, but rather a complex, iterative process that
involves constant evaluation and adjustment. The ultimate
goal of this process is to ensure the success of the
gifts and the support of the foundation.
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Theoretical Framework

In order to fully understand the process of internalization, it is
critical to first understand the concept of "trust." Trust is
defined as the willingness of one party to rely upon the
actions of another party, based on a belief in the
capabilities and intentions of that party. This concept is
fundamental to the functioning of trust-based
relationships, and is critical in the context of
philanthropic foundations.
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